

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,595	08/22/2003	Stanley W. Huth	14628/301681 9800 EXAMINER		
33357 75	590 03/29/2006				
ADVANCED MEDICAL OPTICS, INC.			MARTIN, PAUL C		
1700 E. ST. ANDREW PLACE SANTA ANA, CA 92705			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1655		
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006	.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/646	5,595	HUTH ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Paul C	. Martin	1655					
The Period for Rep	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Faillure to rej Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communitor reply is specified above, the maximum statuth of the set or extended period for reply will be evive the office later than three months aftend term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. lory period will apply an I, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be timed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE!	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Since	oonsive to communication(s) filed action is FINAL . 2b this application is in condition for accordance with the practice)⊠ This action i r allowance exce	ept for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of	Claims	•							
4a) C 5)	n(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the app of the above claim(s) is/are n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from							
Application Page 1	apers								
10)☐ The c Appli Repla	pecification is objected to by the I lrawing(s) filed on is/are: a cant may not request that any objection accement drawing sheet(s) including the path or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or on to the drawing(se correction is red	s) be held in abeyance. See uired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Do	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT MAail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-44 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a cell-free system for predicting the activity of an agent, classified in class 425, subclass 287.1 for example.
- II. Claims 11-39, drawn to a method of predicting an agent's cellular activity, classified in class 435, subclass 288.7 for example.
- III. Claims 40-44, drawn to a method for identifying a probe molecule, classified in class 436, subclass 161 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II & III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method of predicting an agent's cellular activity or identifying a probe molecule could be performed on an actual living cellular system by hand in a laboratory system.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/646,595

Art Unit: 1655

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions rely upon unique method steps and have different designs and modes of operation. For example Group II requires the comparison of light absorbance/emission to a calibration plot and the specific use of a spectrophotometer for measuring absorbance, unique method steps not found in Group I.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,595

Art Unit: 1655

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul C. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-3348. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Martin Examiner Art Unit 1655

03/16/06

